

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,343	08/01/2003	John B. Letts	P02030US2A(336)	3593	
Iohn M. Vasuta	7590 01/04/200 Chief Intellectual Prop	EXAMINER			
John M. VasutaChief Intellectual Property Counsel Bridgestone Americas Holding, Inc.			COONEY, JOHN M		
1200 Firestone Akron, OH 443	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1711		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		01/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/632,343	LETTS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John m. Cooney	1711	

.	_Adminior					
	John m. Cooney	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	a date of the final rejection					
		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as			
2. ⊠ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 August 2006</u> . A bri	of in compliance with 37 CFR 41 33	7 must be filed within	two months of			
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the			
AMENDMENTS	hk.m.i.m.ka kha daka af filima a baiaf	will not be entared b	0001100			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause			
		TE Delow),				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		colou olaliilo.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / inchamone	(1 102 024).			
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ant canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable il sublinited ili a separate,	unicly med amending	on cancoming the			
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		Il be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 30-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	ot be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•			
13. Other:		1	_			
			~			
	-	John m Cooney				
	,	rimary Examiner				
		Δrt I Init: 1711				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/632,343

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation requiring contacting the A-side and the B-side stream within a mix head to form a developing foam is a new limitation not previously submitted for consideration which would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: arguments are directed towards reasons for submission of the amendment and do not address the rejections in the case. Accordingly, no further comment is seen to be required...